

WEEK 6:

→ determines the topology on the localization.

A non-arch. $\overline{T_i} \subseteq A$ ($i \in I$)

Condition: (*) $\forall A \supseteq_{\text{open}} U \ni 0, \forall i \in I, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, T_i^n \cdot U = \text{"additive subgroup gen'd by } \{ \pi a_j : u \in A \mid a_j \in T_i, u \in A \} \text{ is open}$

Suppose $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is open $R(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \{ x \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \mid \forall i \mid |f_i|_x \leq |g|_x \neq 0 \}$.
In fact $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \{ f_1, \dots, f_n \} \cdot A$ as above.

Main example: $R(\frac{x}{p}) \subseteq \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle)$

First: non-arch localization $A(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{s}) \xleftarrow{s_1} T_1$

Proposition: If also $s_i \in A$ $R := \{ \text{mult. set gen. by the } s_i \}$. Then $\exists!$ non-arch. ring structure on $R^{-1}A$ st for $\varphi: A \rightarrow R^{-1}A$ structure map,

(a) φ continuous & $\{ \varphi(t)/\varphi(s_i) \in R^{-1}A \mid t \in T_i \}$ is power bounded.

Notation: $A(T_i/s_i \mid i \in I) = R^{-1}A$

(b)

$$A \xrightarrow{\varphi} A(T_i/s_i \mid i \in I)$$

such that $\{ \frac{f(t_i)}{f(s_i)} \mid t \in T_i, i \in I \}$ power bounded & $f(s_i) \in B^\times$.

$\forall f$ contin. $\searrow$
 $B \xleftarrow{\exists! \text{ continuous non-arch.}}$

Remark: for $R(\frac{x}{p})$ $T_1 = \{x, p\}$ $s_1 = \{p\}$
 $A = \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle$ $R^{-1}A = \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle$ but different topology.

Remark: Recall T bounded if $\forall \text{open } U \ni 0 \exists \text{open } V \ni 0$ st $T \cdot V \subseteq U$
A non-arch. $\Rightarrow$ WMA U subgroup $\Rightarrow (T \text{ bounded} \Leftrightarrow \langle T \rangle_+ \text{ bounded})$.

Proposition: A non-arch. $T \subseteq A$. Then T power bounded $\Leftrightarrow \langle T \rangle_{\text{subring}}$ bounded.

In our example, $\langle \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s_i)} \mid t \in T_1 \rangle_{\text{subring}} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{x}{p}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\langle x \rangle$. Notice that $p^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle \not\subseteq D$

So: The opens of $R^{-1}A$ will be of the form $D \cdot U$ where U is an open of A .

Lemma: A ring $G \subseteq 2^A$ a set of additive subgroups. Then G is a set of fundamental neighbourhoods of 0 if and only if $\{ a+G \mid a \in A, G \in G \}$ basis of $\leftarrow$ (*)

(i) $\forall G, G' \in G \Rightarrow \exists H \in G$ st $H \subseteq G \cap G'$.

(ii) $\forall a \in A, \forall G \in G \exists H \in G : Ha \subseteq G$

(iii) $\forall G \in G \exists H \in G : H \cdot H \subseteq G$.

Proof: (**) $\Rightarrow$ (i) $\checkmark$, (ii) $\checkmark$ as $\cdot a$ is continuous, (iii) mult. is continuous.

(i) $\Rightarrow G$ generates a topology. We need (i)+(ii) $\Rightarrow$ mult. & addition is cont.

mult. continuous: $b+G$ open want to show $\{ (x,y) \in A \times A \mid x \cdot y + b \in G \}$ is open.

Fix (x,y) st $x \cdot y \in G+b$ then by (iii) $\exists H' \in G$ st $H' \cdot H' \subseteq G$

(ii) $\exists H'', H''' \in G$ st $H'' \cdot x \subseteq G, H''' \cdot y \subseteq G$.

Take $H := H' \cap H'' \cap H'''$ still open. Then $(x+H) \cdot (y+H) \subseteq G \Rightarrow (x+H) \times (y+H)$ is a neigh. of (x,y) .

Proof of the localization proposition: $D = \langle t/s_i \mid t \in T_i, i \in I \rangle_{\text{subring}} \subseteq A$.

$G = \{ D \cdot U \mid U \text{ additive open neighb. of } 0 \subseteq A \}$. We verify that the properties (i), (ii), (iii) of the above lemma holds for G .

(i): $G = D \cdot U, G' = D \cdot U'$ as A non-arch. $\exists V \subseteq U \cap U'$ such that $D \cdot V \subseteq D \cdot U \cap D \cdot U'$.

(iii): is similar. (ii): Fix $a/s \in R^{-1}A, s = \pi s_i^{n_i}$ and fix $D \cdot U \in G \Rightarrow \exists V: V \cdot a \subseteq U$

$\Rightarrow a \cdot D \cdot V \subseteq D \cdot U$. We know that $\exists T/s := \pi T_i^{n_i}/s_i^{n_i} \in D$ where $t_i \in T$

Note that $a \cdot D \cdot V \supseteq a \cdot \frac{T}{s} \cdot D \cdot V$ as $T/s \in D$ and by (*) $T \cdot V$ is open thus $\frac{a}{s} \cdot D \cdot (T \cdot V) \subseteq D \cdot U$.

In our example, $D = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{x}{p}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle$, fund. system of neigh. of D : $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{x}{p}] \cdot p^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle = p^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle[\frac{x}{p}]$.

Continuation of the proof: φ is continuous: need $\varphi^{-1}(D \cdot \varphi(U)) \supseteq U$ ✓

D is bounded by the choice of the topology $\Rightarrow$ powerboundedness ✓ $\Rightarrow$ (a) ✓

For (b) g exists uniquely as a ring homo. We need g continuous.

Let $E = \langle f(t)/f(s_i) \mid t \in T_i \rangle_{\text{subring}} \subseteq B$ is bounded & $g(D) = E$. Fix $U \subseteq B$ open subgroup $\xRightarrow{E \text{ bdd}} \exists V$ open subgroup $\subseteq B$ st $V \cdot E \subseteq U \xRightarrow{f \text{ cont.}} \exists W$ open subgroup $\subseteq A$: $W \subseteq f^{-1}(V) \Rightarrow g(W \cdot D) = g(W) \cdot g(D) \subseteq V \cdot E \subseteq U$ ▯

Back to the example: Is $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i$ supposed to be conv. if A was complete i.e. is $\{x^i\}$ topologically nilpotent? $x^i \in p^i \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle[\frac{x}{p}] \ni p^i (\frac{x}{p})^i = x^i$ but $\Rightarrow$ top. nilpotent in localization. $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i \notin \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle \cong_{\text{ring}} \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle(\frac{p \cdot x}{p})$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle(\frac{p \cdot x}{p})$ is not complete!

Two Questions:

- (1) Does this non-arch. localization give f -adic ring if we start w/ f -adic ring?
- (2) Completion of f -adic rings.

Definition: Assume A f -adic $\Rightarrow A\langle \frac{T_1}{S_1} \rangle = \text{completion of } A(\frac{T_1}{S_1})$

Completion for $\mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle[\frac{x}{p}]$ with p -adic topology is $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle[\frac{x}{p}] / p^n$ so we should get $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle(\frac{p \cdot x}{p}) \cong \left(\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p\langle x \rangle[\frac{x}{p}] / p^n \right) [\frac{1}{p}]$.